

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/976,523	DORSEY, MICHAEL C.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John P Trimmings	2133	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) John P Trimmings.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Karen Ashby for Erik Heter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 December 2004

**Time:** 11:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone interview with Karen Ashby, Mr. Heter's legal assistant, it was agreed that Claim 24, last two lines, would be amended to read, "setting a bit in the multiple input signature register indicating whether results stored therein are from a previous logic built-in self-test run.". And Claim 29, last line, the misspelled word "thererin" should be corrected to read, "therein"..